



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMIS

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/264,547	03/08/99	JONES		Т	09943/006001
-	ρM22/0316		\neg	EXAMINER	
HANS R TROESCH		MM227 0316		WILSON,J	
FISH & RICH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2200 SAND H SUITE 100	HILL ROAD			3732	18
MENLO PARK	CA 94025			DATE MAILED:	03/16/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No.

09/264,547

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Jones et al.

John J. Wilson

19 Art Unit 3732



TH	E PE	RIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]				
	a) (2	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.				
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Ap bu	plica t is N	nt's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Mar 5, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, OT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:				
X	The	proposed amendment(s):				
		will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.				
	X	will not be entered because:				
	X	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).				
		,,				
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.				
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.				
	Ν	OTE: <u>"wherein some of the data is obtained by imaging a physical model of the patient's teeth or by imaging the</u>				
		patient's teeth directly" and "wherein the computer applies the tests without human intervention".				
		Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):				
		·				
	Nev sep	vly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a grate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.				
	The	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition allowance because:				
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the miner in the final rejection.				
X		For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):				
		ms allowed:ms objected to:				
		ms rejected: 1-192				
	The	proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.				
	Note	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).				
X	Other The proposed amendments to claims 1, 75 and 98 would overcome the 101 rejection.					
		er The proposed amendments to claims 1, 75 and 98 would overcome the 101 rejection.				
		John J. Wilson				
		Primary Examiner				